In: KSC-BC-2020-06

Specialist Prosecutor v. Hashim Thaçi, Kadri Veseli, Rexhep

Selimi and Jakup Krasniqi

**Before:** President of the Kosovo Specialist Chambers

Judge Ekaterina Trendafilova

**Registrar:** Dr Fidelma Donlon

Filing Participant: Counsel for Kadri Veseli

Date: 16 August 2021

**Language**: English

**Classification**: Public

## Veseli Defence Request for Word Limit Variation

Specialist Prosecutor's Office Counsel for Hashim Thaçi

Jack Smith Gregory Kehoe

Counsel for Kadri Veseli

Ben Emmerson

Counsel for Victims Counsel for Rexhep Selimi

David Young

Simon Laws

Counsel for Jakup Krasniqi

Venkateswari Alagendra

## I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Pursuant to Article 36(1) of the Practice Direction on Files and Filings before the Kosovo Specialist Chambers ("Practice Direction"), the Defence for Kadri Veseli ("Defence") requests a variation of the word limit for submitting its interlocutory appeal against the Decision on Motions Challenging the Jurisdiction of the Specialist Chambers ("Jurisdiction Decision").<sup>1</sup>
- 2. More particularly, the Defence requests that it be entitled to submit an appeal which shall not exceed <u>13 000 words</u>, i.e. an extension of 4000 words.
- 3. The SPO was consulted and confirmed that it does not oppose the request.

## II. RELEVANT STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Article 46(3) of the Practice Direction stipulates that an interlocutory appeal against a decision on a preliminary motion submitted pursuant to Rule 97(3) of the Rules shall not exceed 9,000 words.
- 5. Articles 36(1) and 36(2) of the Practice Direction stipulate that the Pre-Trial Judge may order a variation of word limits i) in exceptional circumstances, ii) sufficiently well in advance, iii) after showing good cause and iv) without response of an opposing party if no prejudice will arise therefrom.

## III. SUBMISSION

- *i)* Exceptional Circumstances
- 6. The Jurisdiction Decision comprising a total of 98 pages deals with complex legal issues of paramount importance which the Defence needs to address in a comprehensive manner. The decision rendered thereupon by the Appeals Panel will establish precedent which will be relied upon in future cases.

KSC-BC-2020-06 1 16 August 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> KSC-BC-2020-06/F00412.

PUBLIC 16/08/2021 12:24:00

*ii)* Timing of the Present Request

7. On 5 August 2021, an Appeals Panel granted the Defence request to vary the

time limit to appeal the Jurisdiction Decision and extended the deadline to 27

August 2021.<sup>2</sup> The Defence sought the SPO's approval for the requested word

extension on 14 August 2021 and the SPO's response thereto was received on

15 August 2021. The present request is submitted in a timely manner, with

approximately half of the allotted time to appeal remaining.

iii) Good Cause

8. In light of the number, complexity and novelty of the issues to be addressed,

the requested variation of word limit is appropriate.

iv) Lack of Prejudice

9. Given that the Defence put the SPO on notice that it would require a variation

of the word limit on 14 August 2021 and that the SPO acquiesced to the same,

no prejudice will be caused. Should the SPO wish a similar proportional word

limit variation in response, the Defence hereby gives its advance consent to

such.

IV. CONCLUSION

10. In light if the aforementioned, the President is respectfully requested to order

the variation of word limit sought in paragraph 2 above.

Word Count: 429

Ben Emmerson, CBE QC

Counsel for Kadri Veseli

Nicholas Kaufman

Co-Counsel for Kadri Veseli

Nundus haufman

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> KSC-BC-2020-06/IA009-F00005.